



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/496,656	02/03/2000	Yoshimasa Saitoh	P99.2475	6539

26263 7590 01/12/2006

SONNENSCHN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP
P.O. BOX 061080
WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER
CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080

EXAMINER

HON, SOW FUN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1772

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/496,656	SAITOH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sow-Fun Hon	1772	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/28/05.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-7 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 8-13 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 09/23/05 has been entered.

Withdrawn Rejections

2. The 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejections have been withdrawn due to Applicant's amendment dated 09/23/05.

New Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

4. Claims 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gibbons (US 6,307,609) in view of Park (US 5,998,101).

Regarding claim 8, Gibbons teaches a liquid crystal display (column 1, lines 35-40) comprising a pair of substrates being aligned via a predetermined distance therebetween (assembled with orthogonal orientation of the optically generated alignment direction, forming a cell with a cell gap or thickness, column 12, lines 30-35),

Art Unit: 1772

with at least one of them having thereon a film for liquid crystal orientation (alignment layer, column 12, lines 28-31), and a liquid crystal layer put in the distance between the substrates (column 12, lines 30-40), wherein the film is a UV-reactive film (photosensitive alignment layer, column 11, lines 60-65) and is exposed to first polarized UV rays (ultraviolet light, column 10, lines 25-35), while the film is on the substrate aligned parallel to a reference plane, and next to second polarized UV rays after the substrate is rotated on the reference plane (substrates are then rotated 90 degrees about the normal to the place of the substrates and then exposed a second time by the optical exposure system, column 11, lines 60-70).

Gibbons fails to teach that the substrates of the liquid crystal display are transparent.

However, Park teaches that a conventional liquid crystal display cell is composed of two transparent substrates (upper and lower glass electrodes, column 1, lines 30-40), for the purpose of providing minimum interference to transmitted light.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have used transparent substrates in the liquid crystal display of Gibbons, in order to provide minimum interference to transmitted light, as taught by Park.

Gibbons fails to teach that the liquid crystal display has a contrast ratio greater or equal to 138 effected by the exposure to the first polarized UV rays and the second polarized UV rays, and wherein the liquid crystal display device has a pre-tilt angle

Art Unit: 1772

greater than or equal to 3.5 effected by the exposure to the first polarized UV rays and the second polarized UV rays.

However, Park teaches that the liquid crystal display device has a contrast ratio of 200-250, which is within the claimed range of greater than or equal to 138, and a pretilt angle of 4.5 (column 8, lines 61-66), which is within the claimed range of greater than or equal to 3.5, effected by exposure to polarized UV rays (light, column 7, lines 20-25), for the purpose of providing the desired display.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have provided the liquid crystal display of Gibbons with a contrast ratio greater or equal to 138 effected by the exposure to the first polarized UV rays and the second polarized UV rays, and with a pre-tilt angle greater than or equal to 3.5 effected by the exposure to the first polarized UV rays and the second polarized UV rays, in order to obtain the desired display, as taught by Park.

Regarding claim 9, Gibbons teaches that the substrates are rotated 90 degrees about the normal to the plane of reference (of the substrates), and the films on the substrates are exposed to a second polarized light (column 11, lines 60-70).

Regarding claims 10-11, Gibbons teaches that the angle of the exposure can be adjusted from about 0 to about 89 degrees (column 8, lines 35-45), which encompasses the claimed range of between 50 and 90 degrees relative to the reference plane, for the first exposure, and of between 50 and 80 degrees relative to the reference plane, for the second exposure.

Regarding claim 12, Gibbons teaches that the relative ratio of exposing energies is 4/1 between the first and second exposures (column 12, lines 20-30), within the claimed range of 100/1 and 1/1.

Regarding claim 13, Gibbons teaches that the light source is a UV lamp, which is a non-electrode discharge-type since it is gas excited by a microwave source (column 10, lines 25-35).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 8-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sow-Fun Hon whose telephone number (571)272-1492. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday from 10:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached on (571)272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should

Art Unit: 1772

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

S. Hon.

Sow-Fun Hon

1/6/06

[Signature]
HAROLD PYON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
1772

1/9/06